

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

mAt

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/063,757 05/10/2002		Peter A. Friedman	202-0173 RLC	1572
28395	7590 06/20/2003			
BROOKS & KUSHMAN P.C./FGTL 1000 TOWN CENTER 22ND FLOOR			EXAMINER	
			GOETZ, JOHN S	
SOUTHFIELI	D, MI 48075		ART UNIT PAPER N	
			3725	TALER NOMBER
			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
.~	10/063,757 FRIEDMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John S. Goetz	3725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilled to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
24)	is action is non-final.	procedution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
,	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	l ti					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 May 2002 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pr	ovisional application has been	received.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/063,757

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-11 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Koji (JP 2002-143952). Koji discloses a roll hemming device and method. Each of the claimed limitations is disclosed or implicit in the Koji reference. For example, Koji discloses a roller that includes both a flat circumferential section and, contiguous to said flat section, a concave section (see Fig. 5). Additionally, Koji discloses that the roller comprises at least 5 individual sections (see Fig. 5).
- 3. Applicant should note that functional phrases occurring in these apparatus claims have been given limited patentable weight. Claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. *In re Danly*, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "[A]pparatus claims cover what a device *is*, not what a device *does*." *Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc.*, 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990)(emphasis in original).
- 4. Claims12 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sawa (5,224,253).

Application/Control Number: 10/063,757

Art Unit: 3725

5. Regarding apparatus claim 12, Sawa discloses a roll hemming device including a roller with both a flat circumferential section and, contiguous to said flat section, a concave section (see Fig. 6). Furthermore, Sawa discloses that a portion of the concave section (namely the center of section 20a) has a greater circumference than the cylindrical surface (Fig. 6).

Page 3

6. Regarding method claims 17 and 18, Sawa discloses the well known method of hemming an outer panel comprising the steps of: (1) placing inner and outer panels together; (2) executing a pre-hem pass (Fig. 6); (3) executing a final pass (Fig. 7); (4) wherein the pre-hem pass forms an acute angle between the inner and outer panels, and; (5) wherein the final pass presses the inner and outer panels into locking engagement. Additionally, Sawa discloses that this operation should be performed by a roller with both a flat circumferential section and, contiguous to said flat section, a concave section (see Fig. 6).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Koji or Sawa. Claim 16 adds a press die. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to utilize a press die since the applicant has not disclosed that a press die is critical in the solution to any stated problem or is for any particular purpose. Furthermore, it appears that the invention would perform equally well using a roller die.

Application/Control Number: 10/063,757

Art Unit: 3725

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Specifically, Wiens (6,257,043) and Beirnat et al. (6,000,118) teach a hemming roller with a concave forming surface. Baehr (1,289,602) and Ito et al. (4,103,406) teach the usefulness of rollers with discrete sections.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Goetz whose telephone number is 703-308-1411. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs, Fri 7:00am-5:30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allen Ostrager can be reached on 703-308-3136. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3579 for regular communications and 703-305-3579 for After Final communications.
- 11. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-3136.

JSG June 13, 2003

OWELL A. LARSON

XAMINER